

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/789,304 | 02/27/2004 | Hiroaki Murai | 3408.69858 | 7629 |
| 7590 05/03/2007 Patrick G. Burns, Esq. GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500 300 South Wacker Dr. Chicago, IL 60606 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | DARE, RYAN A | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2186 | |
| | | | | |
| | | İ | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/03/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | 10/789,304 | MURAI ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | • | Ryan Dare | 2186 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHC WHICI - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| , | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 February 2004</u> . | | | | | |
| • —— | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| • | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| (| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)🛛 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| • === | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | r alastian raquirament | | | | |
| ا لــا(ه | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Application | on Papers | | | | | |
| 9)□ T | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| - | All b) Some * c) None of: | - have been received | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment | (s) | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | |
| 3) 🔯 Inform | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/27/04</u> . | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/789,304

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because Fig. 7 has a box that contains the phrase "NOMAL PROCESSING." The examiner believes this is a typographical error and should be "NORMAL PROCESSING" which would be consistent with the specification. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the

Application/Control Number: 10/789,304 Page 3

Art Unit: 2186

applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 1 recites the limitation "skipping sectors." However, the previous steps do not describe a process of writing to sectors, only a process of writing data to a storage mediums, with no mention of sector writes. Accordingly, it is not clear what is meant by "skipping sectors" and the claim is indefinite.
- 6. Claims 3, 5, 7 and 9 recite the limitation "said protect step" in lines 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. Claim 10 is rejected for similar reasons as claim 1.
- 8. The remaining claims depend from independent claims 1 and 10 and inherent the deficiencies of those claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to

Application/Control Number: 10/789,304

Art Unit: 2186

consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach similar storage medium writing methods.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan Dare whose telephone number is (571)272-4069. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on (571)272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ryan A. Dare April 27, 2007

MAITHEW KIM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100